Discorhabdin C (1) represents a new class of potential antitumor agents and is being tested further. Related compounds, isolated from another *Latrunculia* species, are also being studied.

Acknowledgment. This work was supported by the Harbor Branch Oceanographic Institution, Princeton, NJ, the Canterbury Medical Research Foundation, and the New Zealand University Grants Committee. Sponge identification was by Dr. Graham Fenwick, and bioassays were made by Vicky Calder, both of this Department. Low-resolution FABMS results were provided by Dr. Pat Holland, Soil Research Institute, Ruakura. High-resolution FABMS measurements were provided by J. C. Cook, Jr., University of Illinois. Low-temperature X-ray work was done in this Department with the help of Dr. Ward T. Robinson.

Registry No. 1, 105372-81-4; 1.HCl, 105372-82-5.

Supplementary Material Available: Tables of fractional coordinates, thermal parameters, bond distances and bond angles (5 pages). Ordering information is given on any current masthead page.

Nigel B. Perry, John W. Blunt* John D. McCombs, Murray H. G. Munro*

Department of Chemistry University of Canterbury Private Bag, Christchurch, New Zealand Received July 23, 1986

Dramatic Effects of Oxygen Substituents on 1,3-Asymmetric Induction in Additions of Allyltriphenylstannane to β -Alkoxy Aldehydes: A Chemical and Spectroscopic Investigation

Summary: The level of stereoselectivity realized in the Lewis acid mediated additions of allyltriphenylstannane to β -alkoxy aldehydes chiral by virtue of substitution at C₃ depends critically upon the choice of the β -oxygen substituent as well as the choice of Lewis acid. The chemical behavior seen in such addition reactions correlates very well with the solution structures of the Lewis acid complexes formed from such aldehydes and various Lewis acids, as determined by variable-temperature ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectroscopy.

Sir: Recently we reported the results of an NMR investigation of the structures resulting from exposure of the β -alkoxy aldehydes 1 and 2a to TiCl₄, SnCl₄, and MgBr₂.¹



The results clearly showed that both $TiCl_4$ and $MgBr_2$ afforded a bidentate chelate with 2a in which the methyl substituent occupied an axial (or pseudoaxial) position, which nicely rationalizes the stereoselectivity realized in nucleophilic additions to such chelates. We now report that the choice of O-substituent plays a critical role in determining the conformation of chelates derived from aldehydes such as 2 in solution and that the stereoselectivity realized in the addition of allylstannanes to such chelates is consistent with predictions based upon the preferred solution conformation of such chelates. Moreover, the reluctance of certain combinations of substrate and Lewis acid to yield conformationally rigid bidentate chelates is also consistent with the chemistry observed with such compounds.

Variable-temperature ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectroscopy provides a sensitive assay for chelation of aldehydes of general structure 2 with Lewis acids. In this study, we examined via both spectroscopy and reaction chemistry (vide infra) the species derived from reaction of β -alkoxy aldehydes 2a-e with MgBr₂, TiCl₄, and SnCl₄—Lewis acids that have shown stereoselectivities in Lewis acid mediated nucleophilic additions to β -alkoxy aldehydes consistent with "chelation control".² Information regarding solution conformation is available simply by examination of the coupling constants between protons at C₂ and C₃ for cases where bidentate chelation is established. The most pertinent NMR data is summarized in Table I.³

The β -benzyloxy aldehyde **2a** with a methyl substituent at C₃ affords a well-behaved bidentate chelate with MgBr₂ over the temperature range of 0-20 °C in which the methyl group occupies an axial position. With TiCl₄, chelation is again observed (-93 to -70 °C) and the methyl group is again axial. Parallel behavior is observed with 2b, where the methyl substituent is replaced by n-hexyl.⁴ However, simply changing the O-substituent to methyl (2c) results in a dramatic change in the preferred conformation of such chelates: the *n*-hexyl group at C_3 now occupies an equatorial position in the chelates formed by reaction with $MgBr_2$ or TiCl₄. With the O-ethyl compound 2d, the nhexyl substituent reuturns to an essentially axial position in the chelates derived from both Lewis acids. Thus steric effects resulting from the nature of the O-substituent are very important in determining the preferred solution conformation of such chelates. The preferred solution conformations as determined by NMR spectroscopy are shown in Figure 1.

A variety of observations suggest that both temperature and steric congestion at and around the ether oxygen are also very important in determining the extent to which chelation can be realized in such systems, particularly with SnCl₄. While formation of a well-defined bidentate chelate is observed from 1a (in which no alkyl substituent is present at C₃) and SnCl₄ by ¹H NMR between -80 and -60 °C, the results obtained with the C₃ substituted materials 2a-c are rather different. The ¹H spectrum from the more sterically demanding *O*-methyl derivative 2c and SnCl₄ at -80 °C contains slightly broadened lines in which the loss of resolution prevents a direct extraction of the solution conformation.⁵ The broadened lines suggest the presence

⁽¹⁾ Keck, G. E.; Castellino, S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1986, 108, 3847.

^{(2) (}a) Keck, G. E.; Boden, E. P. Tetrahedron Lett. 1984, 25, 265. (b) Keck, G. E.; Boden, E. P. Tetrahedron Lett. 1984, 25, 1879. (c) Keck, G. E.; Abbott, D. E. Tetrahedron Lett. 1984, 25, 1883. (d) Keck, G. E.; Abbott, D. E.; Wiley, M. R. Tetrahedron Lett., in press. (e) Reetz, M. T.; Kesseler, K.; Jung, A. Tetrahedron Lett. 1984, 25, 729. (f) Kiyooka, S.; Heathcock, C. H. Tetrahedron Lett. 1983, 23, 4756. (g) Reetz, M. T.; Jung, A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1983, 105, 4833. (h) Reetz, M. T. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1984, 23, 556.

⁽³⁾ All NMR spectra were recorded on a Varian XL 300 NMR spectrometer operating at 300 MHz for ¹H and 75 MHz for ¹³C by using 0.1 M solutions of substrates in CD₂Cl₂. Decomposition of the substrate occurs in the presence of TiCl₄ at temperatures greater than -50 °C. Compound **2b**, however, decomposes at temperatures greater than -65 °C. The MgBr₂ chelates were studied between 0 and 20 °C due to the insolubility of some of the chelates at lower temperatures.

⁽⁴⁾ In the case of **2b** with TiCl₄, the solution conformation cannot be extracted directly from the observed ¹H spectrum or by decoupling. In this case, the diastereotopic methylenes at C₂ appear as a broad singlet with $w_{1/2} = 13.4$ Hz. Lineshape analysis in conjunction with spin simulation reveals that no large vicinal coupling to the C₃ methine can be present in this case.

Table I. NMR Data for Complexes of 2a-e with TiCl₄, SnCl₄, and MgBr₂^a

		R R'O O		2a R = CH ₃ ; 2b, R = <i>n</i> - hexyl 2c, R = <i>n</i> - hexyl 2d, R = <i>n</i> - hexyl 2e, R = <i>n</i> - hexyl	R' = CH ₂ Ph R' = CH ₂ Ph R' = CH ₃ R' = CH ₃ CH ₂ R' = <i>t</i> -BuSiMe ₂			
substrate	Lewis acid; T (°C)	СНО	С3-Н	C ₂ -H		C_2 -H'	OR ^b	C ₁ (¹³ C)
2b	TiCl ₄ ; -75	-0.46	-0.23		-0.94		-1.38	-17.3
	•				$w_{1/2} = 13$	3.4 Hz	-0.25	
2b	SnCl₄; -80	-0.14	-0.09		-0.18		-0.07	
2b	$MgBr_2; 0$	-0.15	-0.03		-0.36		-0.24	-14.3
	0.1				d, $J = 4$		-0.24	
2c	TiCl ₄ ; -80	-0.39	-1.59	-0.85		-0.69	-0.54	-14.4
	•			dd, J = 19, 1		dd, $J = 19, 10$		
2c	SnCl₄; -70	-0.19	-1.37		-0.79		-0.42	-15.0
2c	MgBr ₂ ; 10	-0.21	-0.80	-0.76		-0.72	-0.26	-13.1
	0 2,			dd, J = 19, 9		dd, $J = 19, 2$		
2d	TiCL: -80	-0.47	-0.99	-1.06		-0.94	-0.79	-16.2
				ddd, J = 19, -	$\sim 4, \sim 2$	dd, $J = 19, 4$	0.70	
2d	SnCL: -80	-0.19	-0.65		-1.03		-0.62	
2 d	MgBr ₂ : 0	-0.18	-0.24		-0.56		-0.34	-13.7
					d, $J = 4$			

^a Chemical shifts are reported as downfield shifts relative to substrate at the same temperature. ^b Chemical shifts recorded here refer to those for the benzyl protons in 2a and 2b, for the methyl group in 2c, and for the methylene protons of the ethyl group in 2d.



Figure 1.

of dynamic behavior even at -80 °C with respect to the formation of a bidentate chelate. At temperatures greater than -70 °C the resonances become sharper as the temperature increases; in addition, the resonances for the C₃ methine, the C_2 methylene, and the O-methyl protons all shift to higher field with increasing temperature. At 35 °C, the experimental upper limit with respect to temperature, the coupling constants and chemical shifts for these resonances closely approach those observed for 2c alone at the same temperature. It seems clear that equilibration between complexed and uncomplexed materials is occurring rapidly on the NMR time scale in this case and that the equilibrium constant is highly temperature-dependent. A similar trend is observed for 2d and SnCl₄. In this case, however, the resonances at -80 °C are very broad, suggesting even greater dynamic behavior than that observed with 2c. The ¹H spectra of 2a and 2b, the most sterically

demanding substrates at the ether oxygen, are so broadened that individual resonances are not discernable even at -80 °C.

It is of course crucial to the use of such an approach that the NMR results provide a reliable expectation of chemical events, since the Curtin-Hammett principle can always be invoked in such cases. Fortunately, in all of the cases examined to date, predictions based upon solution structures as examined by NMR are mirrored by the stereoselectivity observed in nucleophilic additions of various allylstannanes to such "complexes". The predictions based upon NMR spectroscopy for the present cases are summarized here: (1) High stereoselectivity for the formation of anti products should be realized for additions to 2a and **2b** using TiCl₄ or MgBr₂, since the axial substituent at C_3 effectively blocks one face of the aldehyde. (2) Low levels of stereoselectivity are expected for 2a and 2b with SnCl₄, where chelation is much less complete. (3) Low levels of stereoselectivity are expected for 2c with any Lewis acid, since the *n*-hexyl substituent at C_3 is equatorially disposed in the bidentate chelates. (4) Stereoselectivity is expected

⁽⁵⁾ The width at half-height $(w_{1/2})$ for the O-methyl singlet is 4.8 Hz at -80 °C for the SnCl₄ case compared to $w_{1/2} = 1.8$ Hz for TiCl₄ at -80 °C and $w_{1/2} = 2.6$ Hz for MgBr₂ at 10 °C.

			Lewis acid; 3:4 ratio			
substrate	R	R′	TiCl ₄	$MgBr_2$	SnCl ₄	${\operatorname{BF}}_3$ · OEt ₂
2a 2b 2c 2d 2e	CH ₃ n-hexyl n-hexyl n-hexyl n-hexyl	PhCH ₂ PhCH ₂ CH ₃ CH ₃ CH ₂ t-BuSiMe ₂	32:1 96:1 3.8:1 61:1 1:1.1	8.1:1 9.7:1 1.3:1 3.9:1 1.7:1	$\begin{array}{c} 3.4:1 \\ 3.3:1 \\ 1:3.3 \\ 3.0:1 \\ 1.7:1 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 3.9:1 \\ 4.9:1 \\ 3.5:1 \\ 4.4:1 \\ 3.4:1 \end{array}$

to be high with 2d, since the *n*-hexyl group is pseudoaxial in the $TiCl_4$ and $MgBr_2$ complexes. (5) Low levels of stereoselectivity are again expected with 2e, which is not expected to form a bidentate complex with any of the Lewis acids examined.⁶

The chemical results are summarized in eq 1 and Table \mathbf{H}^{7} In all cases, the stereochemistry assigned to the



products produced was assigned by chemical correlation with materials that were independently synthesized by established, unambiguous methods. In particular, the very powerful method of Chamberlin⁸ was utilized to secure the anti relationship of oxygenated centers present in the products. Mixtures containing roughly equal amounts of 3 and 4 were prepared via nonselective allyl addition reactions with each of the aldehydes 2a-e, which then allowed for the development of analytical and/or preparative techniques for the separation of diastereomers. The anti diol (or a simple derivative such as the diacetate) could then be identified via capillary VPC and ¹³C NMR comparison with the independently prepared anti material.

Inspection of the data in Table II reveals that the chemical results anticipated based upon the NMR study are in fact realized. For example, with $TiCl_4$ as Lewis acid, substrate 2b (\mathbf{R}' = benzyl) affords a 96:1 level of stereoselectivity which falls to 3.8:1 with 2c (R' = CH₃) and is totally eliminated (1:1.1) in the reaction with 2e (R' = t-BuSiMe₂), situations in which the group R at C_3 is equatorial, or in which bidentate chelation is not established. With 2d (R' = ethyl), behavior that parallels that observed with 2b is observed, consistent with the observation that the C₃ substituents are pseudoaxial in both cases.⁹ In no case are high levels of stereoselectivity observed with the monodentate Lewis acid BF₃·OEt₂ or with SnCl₄ as the Lewis acid. The observed results quite strongly suggest that transmetalation between SnCl₄ and allyltriphenylstannane is faster than, or at least competitive with, the addition of allyltriphenylstannane to the

chelate in this case, and presumably in other cases as well. In fact, "inverse addition" experiments (reaction of substrate with the reagent resulting from reaction of allyltriphenylstannane with Lewis acid) were performed with substrate 2b by using both $SnCl_4$ and $TiCl_4$ and gave 3.1:1 and 1.5:1 stereoselectivities, respectively. Comparison with the data in Table II reveals that the transmetalation hypothesis is quite consistent with this result for the case of SnCl₄ as Lewis acid, but is clearly an unimportant aspect of the reaction of allyltriphenylstannane with the chelate derived from 2b and TiCl₄. In general, it seems reasonable to expect that transmetalation possibilities should be considered as possible complications in such reactions with β -alkoxy aldehydes that are rather hindered at or around the ether oxygen, particularly with SnCl₄ as Lewis acid.¹⁰

In summary, the present investigation provides additional evidence that the sense of asymmetric induction expected in "chelation controlled" nucleophilic additions of allylstannanes to β -alkoxy aldehydes can be predicted by an examination of the solution structures of such chelates and also reveals that rather dramatic changes in solution conformation can result from rather modest changes in substrate structure. Finally, it is of interest to note that rather weak Lewis acids such as MgBr₂ can be much more effective in bidentate chelation than Lewis acids generally regarded as considerably stronger, such as SnCl₄. Further studies along these and similar lines are in progress and will be reported in due course.¹¹

Registry No. 2a, 86272-40-4; 2b, 105538-80-5; 2c, 22418-61-7; 2d, 91243-26-4; 2e, 105538-81-6; 3a, 86272-43-7; 3b, 105538-82-7; 3c, 105538-83-8; 3d, 105538-84-9; 3e, 105538-85-0; 4a, 86272-50-6; 4b, 105538-86-1; 4c, 105538-87-2; 4d, 105538-88-3; 4e, 105538-89-4; CH₂=CHCH₂Sn(Ph)₃, 76-63-1.

(11) Financial support of this research by the National Institutes of Health through Grant GM-28961 is gratefully acknowledged.

Gary E. Keck,* Stephen Castellino, Michael R. Wiley

Department of Chemistry University of Utah Salt Lake City, Utah 84112 Received July 7, 1986

Formation of Quaternary Centers via the Michael **Reaction.** Electronic Compensation for Steric Congestion

Summary: Compensation for steric bulk at the β -terminus of a Michael acceptor may be provided by the attachment of two electron-withdrawing substituents at the α -terminus. The Michael reaction of ketone and ester enolates is useful for the preparation of contiguous quaternary carbon centers in high yield.

Sir: The construction of quaternary carbon centers is one of the more difficult operations in synthetic organic chemistry.² We now report methodology that not only provides a simple solution to this problem but is also

^{(6) (}a) Kahn, S. D.; Keck, G. E.; Hehre, W. J. Tetrahedron Lett., in (b) Keck, G. E.; Castellino, S. Tetrahedron Lett., in press press.

⁽⁷⁾ Reactions involving the use of MgBr₂·OEt₂ as Lewis acid were initiated at -23 °C and allowed to warm slowly to room temperature; those utilizing TiCl₄ or SnCl₄ were conducted at -78 °C. Isolated yields of the products 3 and 4 are typically greater than 85%. (8) Chamberlin, A. R.; Mulholland, R. L., Jr. Tetrahedron 1984, 40,

^{2297.}

⁽⁹⁾ Although we are presently able to make qualitative predictions as to the stereochemical outcome of such reactions based upon preferred solution conformations of intermediate complexes, quantitative predictions as to the level of stereoselectivity expected are much more difficult. For example, the stereoselectivity observed with 2d and MgBr₂ as Lewis acid is unexpectedly low.

⁽¹⁰⁾ Keck, G. E.; Abbott, D. E.; Boden, E. P.; Enholm, E. J. Tetrahedron Lett. 1984, 25, 3927

⁽¹⁾ Address correspondence to this author at the Florida State Univ-

ersity. (2) For an excellent review, see: Martin, S. F. Tetrahedron 1980, 36, 419.